

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

3taps, Inc.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
LinkedIn Corporation,
Defendant.

Case No. 18-cv-00855-EMC
**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
LINKEDIN CORPORATION'S LOCAL
RULE 79-5(G)(3) ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO UNSEAL DOCUMENTS**

1 Before the Court is LinkedIn Corporation’s Local Rule 79-5(g)(3) Administrative Motion
2 To Unseal Documents (“Administrative Motion”). The Administrative Motion seeks to unseal
3 3taps Inc.’s (“3taps”) Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) and the portions of LinkedIn’s
4 Motion to Dismiss 3taps’s SAC (“Motion”), and affiliated papers, that were filed under seal for
5 reflecting the sealed information in the SAC. Specifically, LinkedIn seeks an order unsealing the
6 SAC (ECF No. 68-1), LinkedIn’s Motion (ECF No. 76), LinkedIn’s Request for Judicial Notice
7 In Support Of Its Motion to Dismiss The Second Amended Complaint (“RJN”) (ECF No. 77),
8 Exhibit 9 (ECF No. 77-9), Exhibit 10 (ECF No. 77-10), and LinkedIn’s Reply and supporting
9 materials filed concurrently with LinkedIn’s Motion to Unseal. 3taps’s sole justification for
10 sealing portions of the SAC was to prevent LinkedIn from learning the identity of its customer or
11 that customer’s alleged intent to use data scraped from LinkedIn. 3taps has since agreed to allow
12 LinkedIn to view the sealed information. Consequently, there are no “compelling reasons” to
13 maintain the information under seal. The Administrative Motion is **GRANTED**.

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that ECF Nos. 68-1, 76, 77, 77-9, 77-10, and LinkedIn's
15 Reply and supporting materials filed concurrently with LinkedIn's Motion to Unseal are unsealed
16 in their entirety and made public.

18 || IT IS SO ORDERED

20 || Dated:

HON. EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge